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Characteristics of Blackburn College

- Ranged from 400 to 550 students
- 2/3 first-gen
- 2/3 Pell eligible
- 20% students of color
- Large number of rural students
- Federally designated work college
How did it start?

- The “self-help” program started at Blackburn in 1913
- The purpose of the work program is generally described as being...
  - Lowering the cost of college for students by reducing the expenses from professional staff positions
  - Giving students valuable work and leadership experiences - both preparing them for their careers after college and helping them figure out their vocations
  - That caring for each other and campus builds bonds of community and solidarity across all constituencies on campus
How does it function?

- Students work 10 hours/week to receive $5000 work credit towards tuition
  - Paid hours are also available for some positions
- Blackburn’s work program is student managed
  - 2 general managers and 12 student managers comprise the work committee which makes policies and runs the day to day operations of the work program
  - 12 departments are led by student managers
- Students work in every department on campus: administration, academics, Lumpkin (library, student success), campus services, community service, physical plant, safety, student life, dining, snack bar, bookstore, athletics
What are the drawbacks and challenges?

- The work program is a learning experience for students - which means that everyone is constantly learning how to do their job, but no one sticks around so long as to acquire real expertise.
- The same students who struggle to engage in academics often also struggle to do so in the work program.
- Students must develop time management skills.
- Challenge of balancing the kind of jobs students are interested in vs. the kinds of jobs that need to be done.
How replicable is it?

- Lots of different approaches - and two new work colleges in the last 10 years
  - Includes a range of religious identities, an HBCU, older and newer institutions, urban and rural
- It’s a commitment - and not easy to pilot and effects every part of campus
- Builds experiential learning in for every student
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Characteristics of Goucher College

• About 1,100 undergrads, 900 grad students
• About 1/3 first-gen, 1/3 Pell eligible, 1/2 students of color
• Residential liberal arts college
• Founded in 1885 in metropolitan Baltimore
• About $250 million endowment
The Goucher Edge: How did it start?

Commitment to send all students abroad since 2006

Creation of new interdisciplinary general education focused on complex-problem solving, and racial and environmental justice in 2018 (the Goucher Commons Curriculum)

In 2020, conversation started about revitalizing these two distinctive pillars and building on them to best support all of our students’ growth and post-Goucher success

Resulted in the addition of a new Internship Accelerator and Student Success Teams, and bringing these components together as our Goucher Edge
The Goucher Edge is Goucher College’s distinctive undergraduate experience that provides every student with an Edge.

- **The Commons:** Innovative core curriculum focused on solving complex problems, including social and environmental injustices
- **Academic Major:** 29 programs, each with a capstone experience or project
- **Global Experience:** Universal study abroad at no additional cost, as part of a global education
- **Internship Accelerator:** Four years of career-relevant experiences

An Individualized **Student Success Team** supports students and guides their self-discovery.
How does it function? Internship Accelerator

- Scaffolded, 4-year series of off-campus career development experiences, undergirded by multiple curricular and co-curricular touchpoints through the Career Education Office in partnership with faculty

- Leveraging internal and external partnerships through both the CEO and the Office of Community-Based Learning to infuse experiential learning opportunities throughout the academic curriculum
How does it function?

Student Success Teams

New team-based advising model (Fall 2021)

- Success advisor available from onboarding through graduation (for coaching)
- Includes career advisor, a librarian advisor and a study abroad advisor
- When students declare a major, a faculty advisor joins the success team
- If appropriate, includes athletic coach, international student advisor, or the director of Launch (our program for first-gen students)
Also revised academic standing process

- Addressing a disconnect between our intentions and students’ perceptions, aligning with our social justice ideals
- Created psychologically-attuned letters with student and faculty feedback
- Replaced a 2-day retreat for students on probation by a semester-long “Learning to Learn” 2-credit course which allows us to see students on a weekly basis and teach them the necessary skills to be academically successful in their other classes through immediate transfer
Spring 2022 LL110 – Avg. Credits Earned

- **ALL 110 STUDENTS**: 6.46 (Fall 2021), 12.76 (Spring 2022)
- **STUDENTS WHO PASSED LL110**: 6.86 (Fall 2021), 14.05 (Spring 2022)
- **STUDENTS WHO DID NOT PASS LL110**: 4.25 (Fall 2021), 6 (Spring 2022)
- **STUDENTS REQUIRED TO TAKE LL110, BUT DIDN'T REGISTER**: 8 (Fall 2021), 6 (Spring 2022)

**SPRING 2022 LL110 STUDENTS**

- **FALL 2021 CREDITS Earned AVG.**
- **SPRING 2022 CREDITS Earned AVG.**
How does it function?

Global Learning for All

• Expanding study abroad & increasing equity
• On-campus curricular & co-curricular internationalization
  – Global engagement seminar
  – New program in Asian Studies & Mandarin
  – Regional global internship & research opportunities
  – Lecture series featuring Alumni, scholars, and an NPR reporter
  – Virtual study abroad
  – Faculty development
• Greatly increasing international students and faculty exchange
How does it function?

Goucher Commons

Core courses beyond Study Abroad
- First Year Seminar
- Complex Problem Exploration

Areas of common inquiry
- Race, Power, & Perspective
- Environmental Sustainability

Proficiencies
- Writing
- Data Analytics
- Language

Capstone
The Goucher Edge: Are there drawbacks?

• Requires some investment in staffing
• This has been especially a challenge in this recent climate of turnover, when teams are stretched thin
• The cross-divisional part requires negotiation
• Requires much communication with admissions for accuracy
• Faculty bandwidth, especially given pandemic
The Goucher Edge: How replicable is it?

- On the whole, should be customizable to other contexts
- For internship accelerator, urban location is helpful
- For global, trustee buy-in is key
- For all, it is essential to have faculty on board
- Process of customization would be key to buy-in and success
GOUCHER COLLEGE
Purposeful Cross-Institutional Collaborations

Wendy Sherman Heckler
Provost & Sr. VP, Otterbein University
How Did It Start? Academic Reorganization

AA solving for:
• consistency in faculty treatment and expectations across departments;
• "us v. them" mentality;
• ineffective coordination with other divisions;
• vastly variable department sizes (and political power);
• cost

Faculty task force identified issues:
• silos;
• communication;
• administrative workload;
• 'culture hypocrisy';
• accountability;
• political/size inequity in governance;
• leadership redundancy
Divided by Function, not Discipline

Dean of Arts & Sciences – 14 depts
Dean of Professional Studies & the Graduate School – 6 departments + 5 grad programs
Dean of University Programs (also the AVPAA)
Associate Provost for Strategy & Planning
20 Department Chairs

Associate Provost for Faculty Affairs
Associate Provost for Curriculum, Teaching & Learning, and Mission
Associate Provost for Graduate Studies (0.5 FTE)
Associate Provost for Strategy & Planning
17 Department Chairs
6 Division Coordinator-Liaisons ("CLs")
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Division Coordinator-Liaisons (CLs)

Arts; Business & Communication; HSS-NURS-EQSC; Humanities; Social Sciences & Education; STEM

1 or 2 courses reassigned/year
Summer stipend
Began AY 20-21
Solving the former "2 or 20" problem
How Does It Function?
Responsibilities to Academic Departments

• Identify enrollment capacity, funding and/or partnership needs and priorities
• Communicate needs and priorities effectively with Enrollment Management (EM) and Institutional Advancement (IA)
• Understand academic offerings across the division to differentiate with external audiences
• Help steward academic department gifts
Responsibilities to EM

- Participate in regular meetings with EM team, communicate between academic departments and EM, recruit faculty for participation in events
- Learn the EM funnel and related annual communication flow, and understand admission-related data
- Develop division- and department-level points of distinction and messaging
Responsibilities to IA

• Participate in regular meetings with IA team, communicate between academic departments and IA, recruit faculty for participation in IA events

• Learn philanthropy processes and specific technology to share information efficiently (with AA & IA staff support)

• Advocate for fundraising and identify institutional stories to demonstrate supporters' time, talent, treasure, ties; Identify alumni for programs and recognition
CL-EM accomplishments

• Increased faculty engagement with visiting students, driving higher yield for students who met with Department reps

• Data puzzles led to changes in the way majors are presented to students, creating divisional "undeclared" majors to increase yield

• Messaging improved on web and in comm flow to be more department- and division-specific; Faculty-designed Visit Day events

• Successful grant applications for scholarships/recruiting and new early arrival program, both to yield more students
CL-IA accomplishments

• Helped revise programming and bolstered faculty and student participation in annual events

• Enhanced philanthropic efforts through social media/crowdfunding campaigns, cultivating academic achievement messaging, producing impact data and appreciation notes

• Strengthened database of alumni and friends through regular collection of contact information of alumni and friends

• Provided better line of sight on department needs and funding opportunities to Advancement
Benefits to AA

- Much stronger connections and understanding between AA and EM and AA and IA
- Moving from reactive to proactive in recruiting and advancement connections
- Faculty better understand staff work in university support centers
Drawbacks & Challenges?

- Significant time and resource investment; learning curve is real
- Still figuring out how to interact with faculty leadership in AA
- EM and IA staff need to be collaborative and creative...and patient
- Faculty 'responsibility boundaries'
Is it Replicable?

- Ability to reassign faculty time and discriminate responsibilities
- Faculty willingness to participate
- Openness on the part of IA and EM to 'bringing faculty into the fold'
- Specific success strategies (e.g., the way we list majors) necessarily vary, but new eyes and energy can bring effective solutions to local problems
QUESTIONS?
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